



HYMENOPTERAN PARASITOIDS OF ANASTREPHA FRUIT FLIES (DIPTERA: TEPHRITIDAE) REARED FROM DIFFERENT HOSTS IN YUCATAN, MEXICO

Authors: Hernández-Ortiz, Vicente, Delfín-González, Hugo, Escalante-Tio, Andrés, and Manrique-Saide, Pablo

Source: Florida Entomologist, 89(4) : 508-515

Published By: Florida Entomological Society

URL: [https://doi.org/10.1653/0015-4040\(2006\)89\[508:HPOAFF\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1653/0015-4040(2006)89[508:HPOAFF]2.0.CO;2)

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

HYMENOPTERAN PARASITOIDS OF *ANASTREPHA* FRUIT FLIES (DIPTERA: TEPHRITIDAE) REARED FROM DIFFERENT HOSTS IN YUCATAN, MEXICO

VICENTE HERNÁNDEZ-ORTIZ¹, HUGO DELFÍN-GONZÁLEZ², ANDRÉS ESCALANTE-TIO² AND PABLO MANRIQUE-SAIDE²

¹Instituto de Ecología A.C., Km 2.5 carretera antigua a Coatepec No. 351, Congregación El Haya
Apartado Postal 63, C.P. 91000, Xalapa, Veracruz, México

²Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán, Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia, Depto. de Zoología
Apartado Postal 4-116, Mérida, Yucatan, Mexico

ABSTRACT

In order to carry on the detection and species inventory of hymenopteran parasitoids associated with fruit flies, we examined various tropical fruits growing at the Southern region of Yucatan. During a yearly cycle (Jun 2000 to Jun 2001), 9 host fruit species (including some varieties) were collected by 2 different methods. The first method involved weekly collection of ripened fruits that were transported to the laboratory ("Fruit-Lab"); and the second method was collection of fruits placed on the ground below the tree canopy ("Fruit-Beds"), and which remained in the field for two weeks, after which they were transported to the laboratory. Fruits obtained were counted and weighed, and the recovered pupae were quantified for each sample. As a whole, we sampled 4,470 fruits (850.8 Kg) from the 9 host plant species and varieties, which were infested by 5 fruit fly species: *Anastrepha ludens* (Loew), *A. obliqua* (Macquart), *A. serpentina* (Wiedemann), *A. striata* Schiner, and *A. fraterculus* (Wiedemann). The average parasitism in all samples was 3.69% represented by 11 hymenopteran species as follows: Braconidae, *Doryctobracon areolatus* (Szépligeti), and *Opius bellus* (Gahan); Figitidae, *Aganaspis pelleranoi* (Brethes), *Aganaspis* sp., *Odontosema anastrephae* Borgmeier and *Odontosema* sp.; Diapriidae, *Coptera haywardi* (Oglobin); Chalcididae, *Dirhinus* sp.; Pteromalidae, *Spalangia endius* Walker; Eurytomidae, *Sycophila* sp.; and Perilampidae, *Euperilampus* sp. On the basis of results in differences among samples for parasitism rates, fruit fly parasitoid, and fruit fly host plant, parasitoid assemblages are analyzed and discussed.

Key Words: parasitism, fruit flies, host plants, natural enemies

RESUMEN

Con el propósito de realizar la detección e inventario de especies de parasitoides asociados con moscas de la fruta, se examinaron diversos frutos tropicales cultivados en la región Sur del estado de Yucatán. Durante el ciclo anual comprendido entre junio de 2000 a junio de 2001, se estudiaron nueve especies y variedades de frutos de la región, empleando dos métodos de colecta: el primero se realizó por medio de la colecta semanal de frutos maduros transportados al laboratorio ("Fruit-Lab"); y el segundo mediante la recolección de camas de frutos ("Fruit-Beds") colocados en el suelo bajo la cobertura de los árboles, los cuales permanecieron por dos semanas, y posteriormente trasladados al laboratorio. En ambos casos, los frutos fueron contados y pesados, además de la cuantificación de pupas recuperadas en cada muestra. En total se recolectaron 4,470 frutos (850.8 Kg) de las nueve especies y variedades de plantas hospederas, las cuales resultaron infestadas por cinco especies de moscas de la fruta: *Anastrepha ludens* (Loew), *A. obliqua* (Macquart), *A. serpentina* (Wiedemann), *A. striata* Schiner, y *A. fraterculus* (Wiedemann). La proporción de parasitismo en todas las muestras fue de 3.69% representado por 11 especies de himenópteros de las siguientes familias: Braconidae, *Doryctobracon areolatus* (Szépligeti), y *Opius bellus* (Gahan); Figitidae, *Aganaspis pelleranoi* (Brethes), *Aganaspis* sp., *Odontosema anastrephae* Borgmeier, and *Odontosema* sp.; Diapriidae, *Coptera haywardi* (Oglobin); Chalcididae, *Dirhinus* sp.; Pteromalidae, *Spalangia endius* Walker; Eurytomidae, *Sycophila* sp.; and Perilampidae, *Euperilampus* sp.. Con base en estos resultados, se analizan y discuten las diferencias entre los índices de parasitismo, así como entre los ensambles mosca- parasitoide y planta hospedera-parasitoide.

Translation provided by the authors.

Diverse regional studies in Latin America have addressed the incidence of native parasitoids of the genus *Anastrepha* in countries such as

Guatemala (Eskafi 1990), Costa Rica (Jirón & Mexzon 1989), Colombia (Yepes & Vélez 1989; Carrejo & González 1999), Venezuela (Katiyar et

al. 1995; Boscán & Godoy 1996; García & Montilla 2001), Brazil (Canal et al. 1995; Leonel et al. 1995; Guimarães et al. 1999; Aguiar-Menezes et al. 2001), and Argentina (Ovruski 1995; Ovruski et al. 2004, 2005).

Previous studies have stated that as many as 18 parasitoid species of *Anastrepha* have been recorded in Mexico, including the exotic species *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Ashmead) and *Aceratoneuromyia indica* (Silvestri), both of which have been considered as established (Ovruski et al. 2000). However, at least 6 other exotic species have been introduced into Mexico for control of *A. ludens* and *A. obliqua* (Jiménez-Jiménez 1955, 1956, 1963).

Inventories of native parasitoids of *Anastrepha* fruit flies have been conducted in commercial orchards at Morelos and Chiapas (McPhail & Bliss 1933; Baker et al. 1944; Aluja et al. 1990), but also in wild environments associated with native fruit fly hosts in Nuevo León (Plummer & McPhail 1941; González-Hernández & Tejada 1979), Veracruz (Hernández-Ortiz et al. 1994; López et al. 1999), and Chiapas (Aluja et al. 2003). Inventories have not been done in many other fruit growing regions of Mexico.

Anastrepha ludens (Loew), *A. obliqua* (Macquart), *A. serpentina* (Wiedemann), *A. striata* Schiner, *A. fraterculus* (Wiedemann), *A. ampliata* Hernández-Ortiz, and *A. pallens* (Coquillett) have been recorded from the state of Yucatan (Hernández-Ortiz et al. 2002). The first 4 species are significant pests in fruit crops in Mexico and most of the Neotropics (Hernández-Ortiz & Aluja 1993). Fruit fly control in Yucatan has generally involved use of pesticides (CESVY 2000), and very little is known of the native hymenopteran parasitoid communities. An earlier regional study showed the presence in Yucatan of certain Opiinae (Braconidae) that potentially parasitize *Anastrepha* species, including *Doryctobracon* Ender, *Utetes* Foerster, and *Opius* Wesmael (Delfín-González & León 1997), although sampling methods in that study were not focused on host collection. Thus, specific relationships between *Anastrepha* and braconid species remain unknown.

The present study focuses on the search for and inventory of parasitoids that attack *Anastrepha* species, as well as determination of the relationships between fruit flies, host plants, and parasitoids in the fruit growing region of southern Yucatan, which mainly consists of mixed orchards of citrus, mango, sapodilla, guava, and red mombin.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out in mixed commercial orchards in the Yaax-Hom Fruit Unit, 5 km from the Lol-Tun archaeological site, Oxkutzcab municipality, in southern Yucatan (20°18'N,

89°42'W). Surrounding native vegetation is semi-evergreen tropical forest (Flores & Espejel 1994). Collection of fruit samples occurred from Jun 2000 to Jun 2001, and included 9 host plant species during their fruit-growing seasons: sour orange, *Citrus aurantium* L. (Aug 2000 to Jan 2001); Valencia orange, *C. sinensis* (L.) Osbeck var. *valenciana* (Oct 2000 to Mar 2001); Ruby grapefruit, *C. paradisi* MacFad (Jul 2000 to Jan 2001); star apple, *Chrysophyllum cainito* L. (Jan to Mar 2001); mango, *Mangifera indica* L. c.v. *cordoba*, *criollo*, *pico de loro*, and *manglova* (Mar to Jul 2001); sapodilla, *Manilkara zapota* (L.) P. Royen (Sept to Dec 2000); mamey sapote, *Pouteria sapota* (Jacq.) H. Moore & Stearn (Apr to Jul 2001); guava, *Psidium guajava* L. (Jun to Sep 2000; Febr, April to Jun 2001); and red mombin, *Spondias purpurea* L. c.v. *San Juan*, *tuxpana*, and *chi-abal* (Apr to May 2001).

Fruits were sampled during the fruiting season of each host plant, according to availability of mature fallen fruits under the trees by means of 2 different methods as follows:

- (1) Fruit-Lab Samples. Fruits were weekly sampled, placed in 20-liter containers with a substrate of soil from the collection site, covered with wire mesh and topped with a fine-mesh screen to prevent contamination. Samples were taken to the laboratory where they were counted, weighed, and reviewed daily. The recovered pupae were separated in small plastic containers for adult fly and parasitoid emergence.
- (2) Fruit-Bed samples. This method was implemented once a sufficient amount of fruits were available. Collected fruits were arranged in "fruit-beds" under the tree canopy, consisting of a plastic tarp covered with soil, containing a known number of fruits previously weighed. "Fruit-beds" remained in the field for 2 weeks and were observed. All pupae recovered were taken to the laboratory in small plastic containers for adult fly and parasitoid emergence.

Percent of parasitism (PP) was recorded as $PP = a/(a + b) \times 100$, where a = Number of recovered parasitoids; and b = Number of emerged adult flies in each sample (Steck et al. 1986). Correlation analysis (Statistica 1999) was used to compare mean fruit weight of host sampled (calculated as the \log_{10} of fruit weight), infestation index (calculated as the number of larvae/Kg fruit), and percentage of parasitoids recovered in each sample.

Specimens of fruit flies and parasitoids were determined by VHO and HDG, respectively. Voucher specimens are deposited in the Insect Collections (IEXA) of the Instituto de Ecología (Xal-

apa, Veracruz), and in the Regional Entomological Collections (CERUY) of the Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán (Mérida, Yucatán). Botanical samples were identified by personnel of the Botanical Department of the UADY and deposited in the Herbarium of this institution. Botanical nomenclature is based on Terrel et al. (1986), and parasitoid nomenclature follows Ovruski et al. (2000).

RESULTS

Altogether, 4,470 fruits (850.8 kg) from 9 host species (including 4 mango varieties and 3 red mombin varieties) were examined and found to be infested by 5 *Anastrepha* species. All the citrus hosts (*C. aurantium*, *C. sinensis*, and *C. paradisi*) were infested by *A. ludens*, and 1 specimen of *A. serpentina* was recovered from ruby grapefruit and 2 from sour orange. A single specimen of *A. fraterculus* was found in sour orange. The hosts of the family Sapotaceae (*C. cainito*, *P. sapota* and *M. zapota*) were only infested by *A. serpentina*, and all *S. purpurea* varieties were infested by *A. obliqua*. The mango varieties (*M. indica*) were infested by *A. ludens* (53.4%) and *A. obliqua* (45.9%), and 2 specimens of *A. serpentina* were recovered. The guava fruits (*Psidium guajava*) were infested by *A. fraterculus* (84.2%) and *A. striata* (15.8%).

In total, 12,929 larvae and pupae were recovered from the sampled fruits. Although the number of fruits collected by each sampling method were equivalent, the "Fruit-Lab" samples exhibited a higher degree of infestation (2,227 fruits, with 8,511 recovered pupae), than that left in the "Fruit-Bed" samples (2,243 fruits, with 4,418 recovered pupae). The highest infestation indices per host were observed in *P. guajava* (103.2 larvae/Kg), *S. pupurea* (all varieties with 83.3 to 44 larvae/Kg), *C. cainito* (40.4 larvae/Kg), *P. sapota* (29.6 larvae/Kg), and *C. aurantium* (22.5 larvae/Kg). The lowest infestation rates occurred in *M. indica* (all varieties with 15.9 to 0.3 larvae/Kg), *M. zapota* (15.7 larvae/Kg), *C. sinensis* (4.2 larvae/Kg), and *C. paradisi* (3.1 larvae/Kg). Sample sizes in some of these low-infestation hosts were relatively small. In total, 9,223 fruit fly viable pupae were recovered during the study, which produced 8,883 adult flies and 340 parasitoid specimens. Average parasitism of all fruit flies was 3.69% (Table 1).

The recovered parasitoids included the following 11 species: the larval-pupal parasitoids *D. areolatus* (Szépligeti) and *Opius bellus* (Gahan) (Braconidae); *Aganaspis pelleranoi* (Brethes), *Aganaspis* sp., *Odontosema anastrephae* Borgmeier and *Odontosema* sp. (Agnitidae); and the pupal parasitoids *Coptera haywardi* (Oglobin) (Diapriidae), *Dirhinus* sp. (Chalcididae), and *Spalangia endius* Walker (Pteromalidae). In addition, 2 other parasitoid species in the genera

Sycophila sp. (Eurytomidae) and *Euperilampus* sp. (Perilampidae) were recorded for the first time in *Anastrepha*.

Relationships between fruit fly-parasitoids among samples showed that *A. ludens* was attacked in *Citrus* spp. by 5 parasitoids, which accounted for 29.3% of overall species, while in *M. indica* only 2 parasitoid species were recorded with 0.6%. In this sense, *A. obliqua* was parasitized in *Spondias purpurea* by 5 parasitoid species (16.7%); *A. serpentina* was attacked by 5 parasitoids (25.6%) infesting 3 hosts of the family Sapotaceae; and the *Psidium guajava* fruits infested by *A. striata*/*A. fraterculus* were parasitized by 8 species (27.8%).

Odontosema anastrephae was found in 7 host plant species representing 43.2% of all recovered parasitoids with highest proportions in *Psidium guajava* and *Citrus aurantium*. *Coptera haywardi* represented by 16.2% of parasitoids was found in 6 hosts; *Doryctobracon areolatus* (14.2%) was present in 4 hosts, particularly in *C. cainito*; and *Spalangia endius* only accounted for 6.5% of the overall recorded parasitism, but it was found in 4 different fruit hosts (Table 2).

Parasitism observed between 2 sampled collections revealed that specimens recovered from "Fruit-Bed" samples were higher than those recovered from the "Fruit-Lab" samples with 68.5% and 31.5%, respectively. In this sense, species as *C. haywardi*, *O. anastrephae*, *S. endius*, and *Dirhinus* sp. were dominant in "Fruit-Beds" accounting for 65% of all parasitoid specimens. On the contrary, the dominant species observed in "Fruit-Lab" samples were *D. areolatus*, *Sycophila* sp. and *Euperilampus* sp., which accounted for 21.2%. Table 3 shows the proportions of parasitoids by hosts obtained from each sampling method.

Correlation analysis between average fruit weight (Log Fruit Weight) and the infestation index (Mean Larvae/kg Fruit) were significant ($r = -0.695$; $P = 0.005$), indicating that as average weight increased in the different fruit species, the degree of infestation in the sample decreased. In contrast, there was not a significant correlation between the average fruit weight and the percentage of parasitism ($r = -0.090$; $P = 0.758$), and no correlation between infestation index and the percentage of parasitism among samples ($r = 0.270$; $P = 0.350$).

DISCUSSION

All parasitoid species reported here are first records for *Anastrepha* in Yucatan. No previous published records exist in literature of the genera *Sycophila* sp. (Eurytomidae) and *Euperilampus* sp. (Perilampidae) as parasitoids in *Anastrepha* (Ovruski et al. 2000). In this sense, *Eurytoma sivilskii* Gates & Grissell (Eurytomidae) was recently described attacking field populations of

TABLE 1. HOST PLANT SAMPLED AND RECOVERED FRUIT FLY PUPAE AND PARASITIDS OF *ANASTREPHA* SPECIES IN YUCATAN MEXICO. *MANGIFERA INDICA*: 1 = VAR. CORDOBA; 2 = VAR. CRIOLLO; 3 = VAR. PICO DE LORO; 4 = VAR. MANGLOVA; *SPONDIAS PURPUREA*: 1 = VAR. SAN JUAN; 2 = VAR. TUXPANA, 3 = VAR. CHI-ABAL.

Host plant	Fruit sampled	Total fruit weight (Kg)	Mean fruit weight (Kg)	Infestation (larvae/Kg)	Total pupae recovered	Pupae viable	Flies emerged	Parasitoids emerged	Parasitism %
<i>C. aurantium</i>	558	90.40	0.162	22.50	2037	1630	1578	52	3.19
<i>C. sinensis</i>	732	138.60	0.189	4.24	587	438	414	24	5.48
<i>C. paradisi</i>	251	124.40	0.496	3.15	392	269	245	24	8.92
<i>Ch. cainito</i>	200	22.80	0.114	40.40	918	514	447	67	13.04
<i>M. indica</i> 1	325	64.70	0.199	2.80	179	110	108	2	1.82
<i>M. indica</i> 2	29	4.60	0.159	15.90	73	67	67	0	0.00
<i>M. indica</i> 3	225	87.00	0.387	0.33	29	23	23	0	0.00
<i>M. indica</i> 4	234	105.90	0.453	1.20	127	81	81	0	0.00
<i>Ma. zapota</i>	454	83.60	0.184	15.70	1310	1084	1072	12	1.11
<i>Po. sapota</i>	92	72.80	0.791	29.60	2157	1940	1932	8	0.41
<i>Ps. guajava</i>	442	26.80	0.061	103.20	2765	1773	1679	94	5.30
<i>S. purpurea</i> 1	716	26.80	0.037	83.30	2232	1188	1133	55	4.63
<i>S. purpurea</i> 2	138	1.75	0.013	44.00	77	73	72	1	1.36
<i>S. purpurea</i> 3	74	0.65	0.009	70.80	46	33	32	1	3.03
All samples	4470	850.8	0.232	31.2	12929	9223	8883	340	3.69

TABLE 2. PARASITOID SPECIES EMERGED BY HOST FRUIT SPECIES UNDER 2 DIFFERENT SYSTEMS OF COLLECTION. *M. INDICA*; 1 = VAR. CORDOBA; *S. PURPUREA*; 1 = VAR. SAN JUAN; 2 = VAR. TUXPANA; 3 = VAR. CHI-ABAL. ACRONYMS FOR PARASITOID SPECIES ARE AS FOLLOWS: DAR = *D. AREOLATUS*; OBEL = *O. BELLUS*; CHAY = *C. HAYWARDI*; APELL = *A. PELLERANOI*; ASP = *AGANASPIS* SP.; OANAS = *O. ANASTREPHAE*; OSP = *ODONTOSERNA* SP.; SPEND = *S. ENDIUS*; DSP = *DIRHINUS* SP.; SYSP = *SYCOPHILA* SP.; ESP = *EUPERILAMPUS* SP.

Hosts	Parasitoids	Oar	Obel	Chay	Apell	Asp	Oanas	Osp	Spend	Dsp	Sysp	Esp	Totals	% by sample
<i>C. aurantium</i>	Fruit Lab	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	0.9
	Fruit beds	0	0	8	0	0	38	0	3	0	0	0	49	14.4
<i>C. sinensis</i>	Fruit Lab	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.3
	Fruit beds	0	0	6	0	0	10	0	7	0	0	0	23	6.8
<i>C. paradisi</i>	Fruit Lab	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
	Fruit beds	0	0	1	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	24	7.1
<i>Ch. cainito</i>	Fruit Lab	30	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	42	12.4
	Fruit beds	5	0	7	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	25	7.3
<i>M. indica</i> 1	Fruit Lab	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	0.6
	Fruit beds	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
<i>Ma. zapota</i>	Fruit Lab	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
	Fruit beds	0	0	9	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	12	3.5
<i>S. purpurea</i> 1	Fruit Lab	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	16	29	8.5
	Fruit beds	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	15	1	0	26	7.7
<i>S. purpurea</i> 2	Fruit Lab	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.3
	Fruit beds	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
<i>S. purpurea</i> 3	Fruit Lab	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.3
	Fruit beds	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
<i>Po. sapota</i>	Fruit Lab	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	8	2.3
	Fruit beds	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
<i>Ps. guajava</i>	Fruit Lab	6	2	0	7	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	20	5.9
	Fruit beds	1	0	24	5	0	42	0	2	0	0	0	74	21.7
Total specimens	Fruit Lab	42	2	0	8	1	18	2	0	4	10	20	107	31.5
	Fruit beds	6	0	55	5	0	129	0	22	15	1	0	233	68.5
% parasitism	FLab + FBeds	14.1	0.6	16.2	3.8	0.3	43.2	0.6	6.5	5.6	3.2	5.9	340	100

TABLE 3. RELATIONSHIP FRUIT FLY-PARASITOID SPECIES RECOVERED FROM ALL SAMPLED HOSTS EXPRESSED IN PERCENTAGES.

Fruit fly	<i>A. ludens</i>	<i>A. ludens</i> / <i>A. obliqua</i>	<i>A. obliqua</i>	<i>A. serpentina</i>	<i>A. striata</i> / <i>A. fraterculus</i>	Parasitism %
Hosts	<i>Citrus</i> spp.	<i>M. indica</i>	<i>Spondias</i> <i>purpurea</i>	<i>Ch. cainito</i> , <i>P. sapota</i> , <i>M. zapota</i>	<i>P. guajava</i>	All hosts
Parasitoids						
<i>Odontosema anastrephae</i>	21.4	0.3	0.0	8.2	13.3	43.2
<i>Odontosema</i> sp.	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.6
<i>Aganaspis pelleranoi</i>	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	3.8
<i>Aganaspis</i> sp.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
<i>Doryctobracon areolatus</i>	0.0	0.0	1.8	10.3	2.1	14.2
<i>Opius bellus</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
<i>Coptera haywardi</i>	4.4	0.0	0.0	4.7	7.1	16.2
<i>Spalangia endius</i>	2.9	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.6	6.4
<i>Dirhinus</i> sp.	0.0	0.0	4.4	1.2	0.0	5.6
<i>Sycophila</i> sp.	0.0	0.3	2.9	0.0	0.0	3.2
<i>Euperilampus</i> sp.	0.0	0.0	4.7	1.2	0.0	5.9
Parasitism %	29.3	0.6	16.7	25.6	27.8	100.0

A. obliqua in Mexico (Gates & Grissell 2004). The eurytomids also occur as parasites in Cynipidae, Pteromalidae, Eurytomidae, Tanaostigmatidae, and Agaonidae (Grisell & Schauff 1990; DiGiulio 1997), and members of the family Perilampidae are hyperparasitoids of Ichneumonidae (Darling 1997). However, since the tephritid pupae were separated from the fruit and counted before adult emergence, these may be cases of hyperparasitism. These results should be further investigated.

The genus *Dirhinus* (Chalcididae) has been reported as a pupal parasite in Brachycerous Diptera widely distributed throughout the world tropics, with 3 known species in the USA (Burks 1947), and about 15 native species yet to be studied in regions ranging from Indiana (USA) to central Argentina (Boucek 1992). Unpublished data for Mexico indicate the presence of at least *D. buschi* (Crawford), *D. schwarzi* (Crawford), *D. texanus* (Ashmead), and *D. giffardii* (Silvestri) (data provided by Alejandro González-Hernández and Serguei Triapitsyn), although there are probably 1 or 2 more species with cosmopolitan distribution (Robert A. Wharton, Texas A & M University, personal communication).

Dirhinus giffardii is the unique species reported attacking fruit flies in the Neotropics, a native western African species introduced in Israel around 1950 (Podoler & Mazor 1981), and in Latin American countries of Puerto Rico (1935-1937), Costa Rica (1955), Peru (1960), Colombia (1970), and Bolivia (1971), and in Florida, USA (1977-1979) (Ovruski et al. 2000). In Mexico, it has been introduced in the states of Morelos and Oaxaca (Jiménez-Jiménez 1956), however there is no evi-

dence that it is established in these regions. The *Dirhinus* species reported in this paper is very similar to *D. schwarzi* and *D. giffardii*, representing an undescribed species, and a new record of a native parasitoid for *A. obliqua* and *A. serpentina*.

The exotic species *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* and *Aceratoneuromyia indica* were not recorded during the present study, but both have been documented as established and as having significant parasitism indices in Costa Rica (Wharton et al. 1981) and Mexico (Aluja et al. 1990) respectively, though both these studies were only concerned with coffee and mango orchards. *Spalangia endius* is a remarkable record, since it has been recorded from *Anastrepha* in Florida, though rarely reared from tephritids (Ovruski et al. 2000).

The majority of the published papers on *Anastrepha* parasitoids indicate that *D. areolatus* (Braconidae) is the most important native parasitoid species, having the highest parasitism indices in the Neotropical region in countries such as Mexico (Hernández-Ortiz et al. 1994; López et al. 1999), Guatemala (Eskafi 1990), Costa Rica (Jirón & Mexzon 1989), Colombia (Yepes & Velez 1989; Carrejo & González 1999), Venezuela (Katiyar et al. 1995), Brazil (Canal et al. 1995; Leonel et al. 1995; Aguiar-Menezes & Menezes 1997; Aguiar-Menezes et al. 2001), and Argentina (Ovruski et al. 2004, 2005).

On the basis of our results, *O. anastrephae* (Figitidae) is the dominant species occurring in 7 host plants attacked by 5 *Anastrepha* species. This species is considered a koinobiont parasitoid of *Anastrepha* larvae (Ovruski et al. 2000),

though most of the recovered specimens were found in the Fruit-Bed samples, particularly from *Citrus* species and guava accounting for 87.7%. Such differences in the parasitism indices may be related to parasitoid biological factors, such as the ability of *O. anastrephae* to reach their host larvae by entering wounds in fruit located on the ground (Sivinski et al. 1997, 2000).

Comparisons between fruit weight and infestation rates among different hosts showed that the number of larvae was larger in small fruits but decreased as fruit size increased. This coincides with results observed for *A. suspensa* in Florida (USA), when fruit sizes and infestation indices were compared for 6 host species (Sivinski 1991).

Previous hypothesis on parasitism levels have been attributed in part to physical difficulties in locating immature stages within large fruits (Sivinski 1991). However, our comparisons between fruit weight of 14 hosts and the parasitism rates of the 11 parasitoid species showed no correlation. This may be due to the fact that more sample sizes are needed in order to test this hypothesis, or that the native parasitoid community has only become recently adapted to certain exotic fruit species included in our analysis, such as *Citrus* spp. and *M. indica*.

The low level of parasitism (3.69%) observed in this study is probably due to orchard management practices, in which destruction of fallen fruit and periodic pesticide use (CESVY 2000), could have a negative impact on parasitoid populations. Similar studies carried out in Brazil reported similar species diversity and levels of parasitism (Uchôa-Fernandes et al. 2003). Based on the parasitoid species diversity that attack the *Anastrepha* fruit flies in Yucatan, further studies need to be focused on the biology and ecology of certain native parasitoids such as *O. anastrephae*, *C. haywardi*, and *D. areolatus* as promising biological control agents.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Luis Hernández Puch for allowing access to his family's orchard for this research. Thanks are due to 2 anonymous reviewers for helpful suggestions on the earlier version of the manuscript. This study was partially supported by the project "Relaciones huésped-parasitoide y caracterización de la comunidad de braconidos (Hymenoptera: Parasitica) en el estado de Yucatán" funded by the CONACYT, Mexico (Ref: 25016-N), and by the project "Ecología y sistemática de insectos fitófagos y saprófagos", funded by the Instituto de Ecología, A.C. (Ref: 902-08/128).

REFERENCES CITED

- AGUIAR-MENEZES, E. L., AND E. B. MENEZES. 1997. Natural occurrence of parasitoids of *Anastrepha* spp. Schiner, 1868 (Diptera: Tephritidae) in different host plants, in Itaguaí (RJ), Brasil. *Biol. Control* 8: 1-6.
- AGUIAR-MENEZES, E. L., E. B. MENEZES, P. S. SILVA, A. J. BITTAR, AND P. C. R. CASSINO. 2001. Native hymenopteran parasitoids associated with *Anastrepha* spp. (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Seropédica City, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Florida Entomol.* 84: 706-711.
- ALUJA, M., J. GUILLÉN, P. LIEDO, M. CABRERA, E. RÍOS, G. DE LA ROSA, H. CELEDONIO, AND D. MOTA. 1990. Fruit infesting tephritids (Diptera: Tephritidae) and associated parasitoids in Chiapas, Mexico. *Entomophaga* 35: 39-48.
- ALUJA, M., J. RULL, J. SIVINSKI, A. L. NORRBOM, R. A. WHARTON, R. MACÍAS-ORDÓÑEZ, F. DÍAZ-FLEISCHER, AND M. LÓPEZ. 2003. Fruit flies of the genus *Anastrepha* (Diptera: Tephritidae) and associated native parasitoids (Hymenoptera) in the tropical rainforest biosphere reserve of Montes Azules, Chiapas, México. *Environ. Entomol.* 32: 1377-1385.
- BAKER, A. C., W. E. STONE, C. C. PLUMMER, AND M. A. MCPHAIL. 1944. A Review of Studies on the Mexican Fruit Fly and Related Mexican Species. U.S. Dept. Agric. Misc. Publ. 531: 1-155.
- BOSCÁN, N. DE M., AND F. GODOY. 1996. Nuevos parasitoides de moscas de las frutas de los géneros *Anastrepha* y *Ceratitidis* en Venezuela. *Rev. Agr. Trop.* 46: 465-471.
- BOUCEK, Z. 1992. The new world genera of Chalcididae (Hymenoptera). *Mem. Amer. Entomol. Inst.* 53: 49-117.
- BURKS, B. D. 1947. Nearctic species of the genus *Dirhinus*. *Proc. Ent. Soc. Washington* 49: 136-140.
- CANAL, N. A., R. A. ZUCCHI, N. M. SILVA, AND S. SILVEIRA NETO. 1995. Análise faunística dos parasitoides (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) de *Anastrepha* spp. (Diptera: Tephritidae) em Manaus e Iranduba, estado do Amazonas. *Acta Amazonica* 25: 235-246.
- CARREJO, N. S., AND R. GONZÁLEZ. 1999. Parasitoids reared from species of *Anastrepha* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Valle del Cauca, Colombia. *Florida Entomol.* 82: 113-118.
- COMITÉ ESTATAL DE SANIDAD VEGETAL DE YUCATÁN (CESVY). 2000. Campaña Contra Moscas de la Fruta, Secretaría de Agricultura Ganadería y Desarrollo Rural, México. Reporte Técnico Interno.
- DARLING, C. D. 1997. Perilampidae, pp. 534-540 *In* G. A. P. Gibson, J. T. Huber, and J. B. Woolley [eds.], *Annotated Keys to the Genera of Nearctic Chalcidoidea* (Hymenoptera). NRC-CNRC.
- DELFIN-GONZÁLEZ, H., AND F. LEÓN. 1997. Géneros de Braconidae (Hymenoptera) en Yucatán. Algunos elementos para el planteamiento de patrones de riqueza. *Acta Zool. Mexicana* (nueva serie) 70: 65-77.
- DIGIULIO, J. A. 1997. Eurytomidae, pp. 477-497 *In* G. A. P. Gibson, J. T. Huber, and J. B. Woolley [eds.], *Annotated Keys to the Genera of Nearctic Chalcidoidea* (Hymenoptera). NRC-CNRC.
- ESKAFI, F. M. 1990. Parasitism of fruit flies *Ceratitidis capitata* and *Anastrepha* spp. (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Guatemala. *Entomophaga* 35: 355-362.
- FLORES, G. S., AND I. ESPEJEL. 1994. Tipos de vegetación de la Península de Yucatán. *Etnoflora Yucatanense* 3: 1-135.
- GARCÍA, J. L., AND R. MONTILLA. 2001. *Coptera haywardi* Loíacono (Hymenoptera: Diapriidae) parasitoide de pupas de *Anastrepha* spp. (Diptera: Tephritidae) en Venezuela. *Entomotropica* 16: 191-195.
- GATES, M. W., AND E. E. GRISELL. 2004. A new species of *Eurytoma* (Hymenoptera: Eurytomidae) attacking the Mango Fruit Fly, *Anastrepha obliqua* (Macquart) (Diptera: Tephritidae), pp. 147-159 *In* Perspectives

- on Biosystematics and Biodiversity. Taxapad and The Natural History Museum.
- GONZÁLEZ-HERNÁNDEZ, A., AND L. O. TEJADA. 1979. Fluctuación de la población de *Anastrepha ludens* (Loew) y de sus enemigos naturales en *Sargentia greggii* Watts. *Folia Entomol. Mexicana* 41: 49-60.
- GRISSELL, E. E., AND M. E. SCHAUFF. 1990. A Handbook of the Families of Nearctic Chalcidoidea (Hymenoptera). *Entomol. Soc. Washington, USA*. 85 pp.
- GUIMARÃES, J. A., R. A. ZUCCHI, N. B. DIAZ, M. F. DE SOUZA FILHO, AND M. UCHÔA. 1999. Espécies de Eucilinae (Hymenoptera: Cynipoidea: Figitidae) parasitoides de larvas frugívoras (Diptera: Tephritidae e Lonchaeidae) no Brasil. *Ann. Soc. Entom. Brasileira* 28: 263-273.
- HERNÁNDEZ-ORTIZ, V., AND M. ALUJA. 1993. Listado de especies del género neotropical *Anastrepha* (Diptera: Tephritidae) con notas sobre su distribución y plantas hospederas. *Folia Entomol. Mexicana* 88: 89-105.
- HERNÁNDEZ-ORTIZ, V., R. PÉREZ-ALONSO, AND R. A. WHARTON. 1994. Native parasitoids associated with the genus *Anastrepha* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Los Tuxtlas, Veracruz, Mexico. *Entomophaga* 39: 171-178.
- HERNÁNDEZ-ORTIZ, V., P. MANRIQUE-SAIDE, H. DELFÍN-GONZÁLEZ, AND L. NOVELO-RINCÓN. 2002. First report of *Anastrepha compressa* in Mexico and new records for other *Anastrepha* species in the Yucatan Peninsula (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Florida Entomol.* 85: 389-391.
- JIMÉNEZ-JIMÉNEZ, E. 1955. Liberación de enemigos naturales de las plagas agrícolas en México en el año de 1954. Parásitos (género *Opius*) de la mosca mexicana de la fruta (*Anastrepha* spp.). *Revista Fitófilo* 10: 70-71.
- JIMÉNEZ-JIMÉNEZ, E. 1956. Las moscas de la fruta y sus enemigos naturales. *Revista Fitófilo* 16: 4-11.
- JIMÉNEZ-JIMÉNEZ, E. 1963. Avances y resultados del control biológico en México. *Revista Fitófilo* 38: 34-37.
- JIRÓN, L. F., AND R. G. MEXZON. 1989. Parasitoid hymenopterans of Costa Rica: geographical distribution of the species associated with fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Entomophaga* 34: 53-60.
- KATIYAR, K. P., J. CAMACHO, F. GERAUD, AND R. MATHEUS. 1995. Parasitoides himenópteros de moscas de las frutas (Diptera: Tephritidae) en la región occidental de Venezuela. *Rev. Fac. Agron.* 12: 303-312.
- LEONEL, F. L., JR., R. A. ZUCCHI, AND R. A. WHARTON. 1995. Distribution and tephritid hosts (Diptera) of braconid parasitoids (Hymenoptera) in Brazil. *Int. Jour. Pest Manag.* 41: 208-213.
- LÓPEZ, M., M. ALUJA, AND J. SIVINSKI. 1999. Hymenopterous larval-pupal and pupal parasitoids of *Anastrepha* flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Mexico. *Biol. Control* 15: 119-129.
- MCPHAIL, M., AND C. I. BLISS. 1933. Observations on the Mexican Fruit Fly and Some Related Species in Cuernavaca, Mexico in 1928 and 1929. *U.S. Dept. Agric. Circular* 255: 1-24.
- OVRUSKI, S. 1995. Pupal and larval parasitoids (Hymenoptera) obtained from *Anastrepha* spp. and *Ceratitidis capitata* (Dipt.: Tephritidae) pupae collected in four localities of Tucuman Province, Argentina. *Entomophaga* 40: 367-370.
- OVRUSKI, S., M. ALUJA, J. SIVINSKI, AND R. A. WHARTON. 2000. Hymenopteran parasitoids on fruit-infesting Tephritidae (Diptera) in Latin America and the Southern United States: diversity, distribution, taxonomic status and their use in fruit fly biological control. *Integrated Pest Manag. Rev.* 5: 81-107.
- OVRUSKI, S. M., P. SCHLISERMAN, AND M. ALUJA. 2004. Indigenous parasitoids (Hymenoptera) attacking *Anastrepha fraterculus* and *Ceratitidis capitata* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in native and exotic host plants in northwestern Argentina. *Biol. Control.* 29: 43-57.
- OVRUSKI, S. M., R. WHARTON, P. SCHLISERMAN, AND M. ALUJA. 2005. Abundance of *Anastrepha fraterculus* (Diptera: Tephritidae) and its associated native parasitoids (Hymenoptera) in feral guavas growing in the endangered northernmost Yungas forests of Argentina with an update on the taxonomic status of Opiinae parasitoid previously reported in this country. *Environ. Entomol.* 34: 807-818.
- PLUMMER, C. C., AND M. A. MCPHAIL. 1941. The Yellow Chapote, A Native Host of the Mexican Fruitfly. *U.S. Dept. Agric. Tech. Bull.* 775: 1-12.
- PODOLER, H., AND M. MAZOR. 1981. *Dirhinus giffardii* Silvestri (Hymenoptera: Chalcidoidea) as a parasite of the Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitidis capitata* (Wiedemann) (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Acta Oecologica* 2: 299-309.
- SIVINSKI, J. 1991. The influence of host fruit morphology on parasitization rates in the Caribbean fruit fly, *Anastrepha suspensa*. *Entomophaga* 36: 447-454.
- SIVINSKI, J., M. ALUJA, AND M. LÓPEZ. 1997. Spatial and temporal distribution of parasitoids of Mexican *Anastrepha* species (Diptera: Tephritidae) within the canopies of fruit trees. *Ann. Entom. Soc. Am.* 90: 604-618.
- SIVINSKI, J., J. PIÑERO, AND M. ALUJA. 2000. The distributions of parasitoids (Hymenoptera) of *Anastrepha* fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) along an altitudinal gradient in Veracruz, Mexico. *Biol. Control* 18: 258-269.
- STATISTICA. 1999. Software for PC Statistical Analysis. Statsoft, Inc., Tulsa, OK.
- STECK, G. J., F. E. GILSTRAP, R. A. WHARTON, AND W. G. HART. 1986. Braconid parasitoids of Tephritidae (Diptera) infesting coffee and other fruits in West-Central Africa. *Entomophaga* 31: 59-67.
- TERREL, E. E., S. R. HILL, J. H. WIERSEMA, AND W. E. RICE. 1986. A Checklist of Names for 3,000 Vascular Plants of Economic Importance. *U.S. Dept. Agric., Agric. Handbook* 505: 1-244.
- UCHÔA-FERNANDES, M. A., R. M. MOLINA DA S., I. DE OLIVEIRA, R. A. ZUCCHI, N. A. CANAL, AND N. B. DÍAZ. 2003. Larval endoparasitoids (Hymenoptera) of frugivorous flies (Diptera, Tephritoidea) reared from fruits of the cerrado of the state of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil. *Rev. Brasileira Entomol.* 47: 181-186.
- WHARTON, R. A., F. E. GILSTRAP, R. H. RHODE, M. FISCHER-M., AND W. G. HART. 1981. Hymenopterous egg-pupal and larval-pupal parasitoids of *Ceratitidis capitata* and *Anastrepha* spp. (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Costa Rica. *Entomophaga* 26: 285-290.
- YEPES, R. F., AND R. VÉLEZ. 1989. Contribución al conocimiento de las moscas de las frutas (Tephritidae) y sus parasitoides en el Departamento de Antioquia. *Rev. Fac. Nac. Agr. Medellín* 42: 73-98.